

TA Spec 1.0 change log

January 10, 2001

- Added updated section 9.2.1 provided by Karsten Reimer and Paul Levine.
- Added section 5.1 from Bob Glushko.
- Added short description for section 5.2 (Caveats and Assumptions).
- Section 6 - Replaced figure 1 with simplified figure.
- Section 6 - [NOTE: – figure needs simplification - numbers are wrong on this diagram and the ebXML specifications are retrieved via the web from www.ebXML.org consistency of terminology is also an issue] – reworded text to explain simplified figure.
- Section 6 – cleaned up introduction to Figure 1.
- Replaced figure 2 with updated diagram provided by Per. Changed to ebXML Reference Model. Credited open-EDI as foundation.
- Replaced figure 3. Changed Lexicon to Core Library. ACTION: SYNC WITH CC TEAM – CORE LIBRARY VS. CORE DICTIONARY.
- Section 7.3 - [NOTE: revise diagram - add “from figure xx” on first box]make it green. UML to XML conversion replaced with meta data to XML conversion and responsibility given to CC, BPM to define methodology. Another suggestion is to collapse these into one single “ebXML Business Process and Information Metamodel” which corresponds to the previous diagram.] replaced figure 4 with suggested updates from Tokyo meeting.
- Section 7.3 - Removed wording that claimed that the ebXML spec would be stored and retrieved from the registry. Added explanation for the use of GUIDs to globally identify registry items.
- Section 7.3 – [NOTE: add paragraph to address recognition of existing business and information models] – added sentence to reflect this scenario.
- Section 7.3 - [NOTE: need to add a sentence to explain searching for semantic recognition of business information].
- Section 8.1 – removed method call examples, updated diagram.
- Section 8.2 [NOTE: the two registries depicted above are confusing to some viewers. Combine into one single registry.] – removed method call examples, updated diagram.
- Section 8.3 – removed method call examples, updated diagram.
- Section 9 – renamed section to ebXML Infrastructure
- Section 9.1 – split TP Information section into separate sub-sections to describe the concepts of the CPP and CPA’s. Added descriptions to accurately reflect the thinking of the TP team.
- Section 9.4 – added paragraph to address Melanie McCarthy’s issue sequencing of multiple payloads.
- Section 9.4 - Reformatted section to resolve issues raised during Tokyo meeting and also to adhere to the new sub-section format.
- Cleaned up figures 5,6,7,10,11,13,14.

- Section 9.3 – revised entire section based on the discussions in Tokyo, also reviewed and extracted various sections from the document submitted by Melanie McCarthy and Lisa Shreve.
- Appendices – harmonized terminology to reflect the current version of the ebXML Glossary.
- Added “The keywords MUST, MUST NOT, REQUIRED, SHALL, SHALL NOT, SHOULD, SHOULD NOT, RECOMMENDED, MAY, and OPTIONAL, when they appear in this document, are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [Bra97].” To Document Conventions section and made changes throughout the document to reflect this reference.
- Added Section 11 – Security Considerations.