

Change Control Log

Line Number	Originator	Issue	Issue Comments	Resolution
Line 59, 61	QRT	Bookmark errors.		Resolved
Line 91	QRT	Implies this document is a specification.	"This document also illustrates how to catalog business processes." Replaced "demonstrates" with "illustrates"	Resolved
Line 106	QRT	Surely it provides more than a list of names?	Changed statement "a list of business process " to "a list of business process names and related information "	Resolved
Line 137-138	QRT	Does this refer to the classification in the ebXML registry?	When business process models/specifications are inserted into a business library they should be cross-referenced against other industry standards. (Yes, mention that library is registry in line 123-124)	Resolved
Line 147	QRT	Does this also comply with the Specification Schema?	Specification Schema does not define the Business Object Map (BOM) layer of UMM that this is referring to in Business Area and Process Area	
Line 162	QRT	Extraneous quote mark.	Will remove	Resolved
Line 167	QRT	Figure 0-1 is illegible. Also, what is the numbering convention being used? Shouldn't this be Figure 6.nn?	Will Change	Resolved
Line 194	QRT	Is this complete? Do financing and technology procurement not use labor? Is transport free (no finance)? Are these relationships important to the catalog?	Bill M	?
Line 216	QRT	Is the ebXML Business Library the Registry?	Yes	

Line 215-222	QRT	Contains rhetoric without justification. Why do business domain experts from UN/CEFACT need to define each specification? Why does the owner need to be an accredited global standards body?	Global standards type simplification of international trade and transport. Has to be one of the 4 international bodies like ITU, ISO, UN/CIFACT.	
Line 218	QRT	"its" should be "It is".	Yes	
Line 237-239	QRT	Sentence needs re-working.	"Experience today strongly suggest that reusability will often occur at the business transaction and document levels when compared to traditional EDI."	Resolved
Line 249	QRT	Should "atomistic" be "atomic" (is "atomistic" a real word?)	atomic	Changed
Line 264-265	QRT	This sentence uses to word "standard" too freely. Maybe use a word like "initiatives".	O.K.	Changed
Line 265-266, 268-269	QRT	X12 and EDIFACT standard messages do not define business processes (except by loose implication). It is the various implementation guidelines and those with the knowledge to construct these guidelines that define the business processes. Therefore, this table may not be comparing	Changed the definition for the table to reflec cross reference to Business documents as well as business processes	Resolved

		equivalent objects.		
Line 288	QRT	Shouldn't this table refer to the Specification Schema rather than the Metamodel?	O.K. TA says if BP modeling use UMM	