

# ebXML Requirements Specification

Change log from Version 1.0 to Version 1.04

## Substantial Changes and Additions

Section references are to version 1.0. Sections were re-numbered in 1.04 due to adoption of standard template.

- Added mention of Trading Partner and Proof of Concept teams
- Added mention of management support teams - QR Team, Marketing & Awareness, and Project Management
- Change “process and recommendation for ebXML compliance” to “ebXML conformance”
- Modified Section 2.8 on Digital Signatures to replace reference to California Civil Code (adding s. 1633) (1999 CA SB 1124) and associated text with reference to UN Commission on International Trade Law, Working Group on Electronic Commerce, Draft Guide to Enactment of the UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Signatures (A/CN.9/WG.IV/WP.88)
- In Section 3.1 change “clearly define compliance requirements” to “clearly define conformance requirements”
- In Section 3.2 for Requirements, add requirement to provide a traceability matrix
- In Section 3.4 for architecture, added collaboration profiles and agreements to requirement to specify roles, interactions, and interfaces among various ebXML specifications
- Added new section 3.8 for Trading Partner team requirements
- Added new section 3.9 for Proof of Concept team requirements
- Added to Section 4 a requirement that the Executive team provide a specification template
- Remove mention of Technical Coordination and Support team
- Remove mention of Marketing, Awareness, and Education Team
- Add Section 4.1 on Executive Committee Support, including QR Team, Marketing & Awareness, and Project Management
- Added to section 5.1 a requirement for the trading partner specification
- To figure 5.1
  - Added the Trading Partner Profile
  - Added Proof of Concept
  - Added Quality Review
  - Added Project Management
  - Removed Technical Coordination and Support

## Editorial and Typographical

- Changed document to use standard template
- Changed use of "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" to conform to RFC 2119. The main changes involved changing several "will" to "shall".
- Changed date and version
- Changed company name for Mike Rawlins, e-mail address for Mark Crawford
- Change document status
- Change “single global market” to “single global electronic market”
- Minor grammatical – extra “shall” or “will”, missing “in” or “or”, etc.