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Closing Plenary Agenda
11 August 2000, San Jose, California

• Executive Report (Ray Walker)
• Unified Field Theory of ebXML (Karsten Riemer, Lisa Shreve)
• Project Team Reports
  – Marketing, Project Management, Quality Review
  – Architecture, Business Process, Core Components, Proof-of-Concept, Registry & Repository, Requirements, Trading Partner, Transport/Packaging/Routing
• Closing Remarks (Klaus-Dieter Naujok)
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Implementation Specification
Summary

- BP, CC, and TPP fit in one coherent architecture

- BP, CC, and TPP fit in one coherent metamodel

- There is one process that leads to the definition of BP and Message specification – built on CC’s and Context

- This specification is the ‘Functional Spec’ for a TPP

- Merged with technical spec, the TPP becomes the spec/properties for the Business Service Interface

- We will demo this in Tokyo
Team Reports
Marketing Team
This week

- **Focus now on delivery**
- **Established priorities and agenda**
  - *Now - Tokyo*
- **Increase:**
  - activity
  - visibility
  - participation
  - adoption
ebXML Marketing Audience

ebXML Initiative

Platform Vendors

Developers

Vertical Initiatives

Press & Analysts

ISV’s

ASP’s

Large Enterprises

Packaged Solutions

Hosted Solutions

Trade Associations

SME’s
Program Elements

1. ebXML Web Site
2. Media Tour
3. Outreach to verticals & associations
4. Trade Show Awareness
5. Collateral
Project Management Team

Verbal Announcement Only!!!
Quality Review Team
Responsibilities

• Report to Executive
• Review Deliverables for Quality and Consistency
  – Deliverables include:
    – Technical Specifications
    – Public documents with technical content
    – Public presentations (inc. QTO)
    – Technical content on the ebXML website
  – Comments to Authors and cc: to Executive
  – Involves ongoing monitoring of work groups
Where we fit

We are here
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Where we fit

We are here

Executive Committee (Resolve)
Document Review Work Plan

- Quality Review (5 Working Days)
- Monitoring
- Development
- 1st Review
- 2nd Review
- Plenary
Current Work Plan

• Deliverables for Review
  – FAQ

• Submitting Deliverables
  – Steering Committee List
  – Subject: ‘For Review’

• Ongoing Work
The Team…

- Dick Raman – Steering Committee
- Bob Glushko – C²+BP
- Tim McGrath – C²+BP
- Nagwa Abdelghfour – R² + TA
- Clive Darling – TRP
- Joseph Baran – TRP + PoC
- Tony Blazej – PoC + TPA
Architecture
Status Report

• Specification update
  – Review of comments
  – IETF Template
  – Messaging services
  – Use Cases
Status Report

• Transformation Design Rules
• Glossary of Terms
• Conformance Rules
Plans for Tokyo

- Approved Specification
- Presentation on Conformance
- Glossary of Terms
- Draft Transformation Rules
- Security & Trust Proposal
Business Process
&
Core Components

Joint Report
BPCC Delivery Team

BUSINESS PROCESS

CORE COMPONENTS

• Metamodel/Context
• Methodology/Patterns/Analysis
• Domains
Accomplishments

- Aligned existing related activities
- Created a work plan of deliverables.
- Developed representation class matrix
- Combined industry analysis results
- Launched a core process group
# Next Steps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>When</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matrix of Representation Classes</td>
<td>8/18/2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry Analysis Results</td>
<td>8/31/2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration Authority Procedures Document</td>
<td>Tokyo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meta-model - third draft</td>
<td>9/30/2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Component Context</td>
<td>Tokyo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proof of Concept Proposal</td>
<td>9/15/2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrate Core Component Methodology with Business Process Methodology</td>
<td>Tokyo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Align with outside methodologies</td>
<td>On going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidelines for applying methodology end to end</td>
<td>9/30/2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Process Specification template</td>
<td>9/30/300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalog of Core Processes</td>
<td>Vancouver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update methodology for describing core components</td>
<td>9/30/2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proof-of-Concept

Verbal Announcement Only!!!
Registry & Repository
Project work completed this week

- Completed reviewing *Part 1: Business Domain* document comments and will send to Quality Assurance team
- Started work on *Part 2: e-Business Requirements* document on use cases for interaction between the users, registry and repository
- Discussed Technical Architecture document and requirements for registry and repository and changes needed to align with Part 1 document
- Met with new Delivery Team on project proposal for POC that uses registry and repository Part 2 specifications
Project plans for next three Months

- Continue working on *Part 2: e-Business Requirements* Document
- Regular scheduled Bi-Weekly conference calls to discuss progress of Part 2 Document
- Midway two day meeting to work on Part 2 Document
- Deliver feedback to TA team within the next week
- Continue to work on POC proposal with Delivery Team
Requirements
Work this week

• Completed work on Requirements Traceability Matrix

• Began requirements work on Trading Partner information, transitioned it into new TP Team

• Discussed revisions to Requirements Specification
Plans for Interim

• Work within TP team on TP requirements
• Begin work on updates to Requirements Specification
• Research other requirements as identified
Contact Info

- Team Leader - Mike Rawlins
  rawlins@metronet.com
- Editor - Mark Crawford mcrawfor@lmi.org
- Requirements Team working site
  www.ebxml.org/
  working/project_teams/requirements
Trading Partner
Trading-Partner Project Team

● Mission
  ■ Define a specification for creating the IT part of a partner profile and a TPA, which is a combination of two partner profiles

● TPA
  ■ IT configuration file, specifies only things that TRP messaging service can manage and enforce
  ■ No business information
  ■ No business semantics except message names/schemas and sequencing rules
    ● Derive from BP metamodel
TP Work Plan and Deliverables

- Requirements review (3 weeks)
- Revise TPA UML model by mid-October
  - Deliverable for Tokyo
- Draft spec (work mostly in Tokyo)
  - Complete around Dec. 1
- Approval at Vancouver
Transport, Packaging & Routing
Accomplished this week:
- Name Change - Messaging Services
- Combined Packaging and Header Specification into one and incorporated comments - 98%
- Reliable Messaging Services - 70%
- Started Security Messaging Services - 0%
- With TA edited TRP section of Architecture Specification - 90%
Transport, Routing & Packaging

- Deliverable by Tokyo
  - Packaging and Header Specification approved at Plenary
  - Reliable Messaging Services stable for use by POC by end of September
  - Security Messaging Services stable by use by POC by end of September
  - Sake
Closing Comments

Q&A
Next Meetings

- 6-10 November 2000 - Tokyo, Japan
- 12-16 February 2001 - Vancouver, Canada
- 7-11 May 2001 - Vienna, Austria