

ebXML Registry Services Specification

Second Comment Review Disposition

Issue first Draft	March 17, 2001
Close of second comment period	April 11, 2001

#	Submitter Name	Date Submitted	Start Line	End Line	Description of Comment or Cut/Paste Section	Resolution of Comment
1	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	Inconsistency in mandating the use of ebXML messaging.	Added statement that is consistent with TA stating that other protocols may be used. A mandate to use ebXML messaging is not in the conformance clause.
2	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	What are the specifics of the 'implicit CPA'? Is it mandatory? If not, how is the functionality achieved?	The use of a CPA is not mandatory. The document discusses using a CPA and not using a CPA. A CPP template will appear as a separate document.
3	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	Compromise of ebXML 'incremental conformance'.	The document no longer mandates the use of ebXML messaging or CPPs.
4	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	Is the Deprecate Objects protocol a workaround to the lack of version control?	No, the Deprecate Objects protocol is used by the Submitting Org to deprecate an object. Version control is used by the registry and is implementation defined.
5	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	The 'Content Based Ad Hoc Query is out of scope.	This section has been moved to a non-normative appendix.
6	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	Alignment with status and content of other specifications. (e.g. StatusResult and digital signature	These services apply to the registry service content and not the message in total.
7	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	Alignment with ebXML requirements: points need clarification	Paragraphs added to address distributed or cooperating registries. Specific mentions: 1. Client/registry authentication is addressed. 2. Archiving is not an interoperability issue. 3. Support for core component reuse is not an interoperability issue.
8	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	Lack of stated	Document and naming

					document and naming conventions	conventions were added consistent with other ebXML approved specifications.
9	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	Lack of definitions before words introduced	Added statement that Registry-related words are defined in the RIM or the Glossary.
10	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	Semantic rules (e.g. lines 1006-1110) need flow charts or other visual assistance for clarity	Diagrams were added to clarify semantic rules
11	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	Is January 2001 correct for page headers?	Header date changed to April 2001.
12	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	Glossary – italicize and reference	Words defined in the glossary are italicized. Reference to Glossary added.
13	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	Words in italics (Objects, Documents names, Interfaces) should be changed not to conflict with Glossary terms.	Only words appearing in the Glossary are italicized.
14	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	Table of Contents does not follow Document Template	Changes made to reflect document template where appropriate.
15	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	Font size too small for examples with shaded background (e.g line 564)	Changes not made at this time.
16	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	All diagrams should have a label “Figure . . .”	All diagrams labeled consistently
17	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	Sections should begin on a new page	In the interest of saving paper, respectfully disagree.
18	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	The QR team identified 19 inconsistent uses of terms	Each of the 19 items were reviewed. See the Change Log for resulting changes
19	QR	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	The QR team identified 83 minor edits... (the QR team used the phrase ‘minor edits’)	Each of the 83 minor edits were reviewed. See the Change Log for resulting changes.
20	Bosak	3/28/2001	N/A	N/A	Thirty-one grammatical corrections and editing comments were submitted.	Each of the 31 comments were reviewed and accepted. See Change Log for specific changes.
21	Bosak	3/28/2001	379	379	Clarify “are local to the Registry from the user’s perspective”	Changed to use the phrase ‘from the user’s view’.
22	Bosak	3/28/2001	450	450	The word ‘content’ used in services names should be ‘item’	Clarified in the description of the service that content refers to RegistryItem.

23	Bosak	3/28/2001	466	466	Keep accepted/error method sequences in the same order.	Sequencing changed
24	Bosak	3/28/2001	487	494	Section and figure titles not consistent	Section name changed to be consistent with the figures.
25	Bosak	3/28/2001	530	530	How could the registry know whether a UUID is globally unique	This requirement removed from the specification. Registry only required to determine correct syntax of UUID.
26	Kim	4/06/2001	402	409	Because every ebXML message is required to specify its CPAId element, we may need to define a special CPA with a default negotiation service (as the QRT review says) or to define an implicit CPA name that every ebxml registry can recognize.	The specifics of the CPP are beyond the scope of this document. However there is consensus that this work must done and will be contained in a separate document.
27	Kim	4/06/2001	494	494	Life cycle of a repository item" may be appropriate for the title of figure 3.	Same as comment #24
28	Kim	4/06/2001	511	511	We need to add s between SubmitObject and Request.	Done
29	Kim	4/06/2001	511	521	We need to define "SubmittedObject elements" or change the explanation. As the following definition describes, the explanation does not directly match with the schema. The explanation implies that a SubmitObjectsRequest element is composed of one or more SubmittedObject elements, that is, <!ELEMENT SubmitObjectsRequest (SubmittedObject+)>.	The text in the page ranges given have been changed to reflect the DTD.