

ebXML Quality Review Group

Summary of Review of

ebXML Technical Architecture Risk Assessment v0.3.6

Release Date: 20th April 2001

Report prepared: 22nd April 2001

Reviewers: Tim McGrath, Nagwa Abdelghfour, Jon Bosak, Stuart Campbell, Murray Maloney, Bob Glushko, Jim Werner, Ben Van De Walle

The Quality Review team reviewed the document "ebXML Technical Architecture Risk Assessment v0.3.6" as submitted by the Security team on April 21st.

We recommend that this document go forward for public review as soon as possible.

In reviewing this document we wish to advise the Security team of some editorial issues which should be addressed as part of the public review. These are:

Consistency needed throughout document

- Between sentences, there are one, two or three spaces
- Items in a list have commas, periods, semi-colons or blank
- Punctuation at the end of a line inconsistent within a list

No section on Document conventions

- No reference to Glossary and use of italics
- Some elements and attributes bolded and/or italics, others not
 - Eg. Lines 444 and 562
- Some words italicized and/or bolded inconsistently
 - Eg line 574

Missing hyphen between two adjectives before a noun

- Eg Line 207 Policy driven Security should be Policy-driven
- Line 416 is OK, base-level profiles

Add a period after the number for all figures to be consistent with other documents

- Eg Figure 1. Future for Policy driven Security

Inconsistent use or absence of blank lines

- After a paragraph
- After a section
- After a subsection

Multiple occurrences

Appears to be more than one space between words

- L 163 after document
- L 165 after the
- L 166 after specifications
- L 168 after developed
- L 172 after 15
- L 227 after document
- L 262 after information
- L 291 after 4
- L 297 before under
- L 311 before example
- L 370 before represented
- L 416 before by, before profiles
- L 430 after This
- L 431 after practical
- L 458 after scheme, before key
- L 463 before initially, before text
- L 499 after C
- L 524 after are
- L 528 after elements
- L 561 after This
- L 568 after Schema, after CPP
- L 580 after identified
- L 581 after Service
- L 584 before document
- L 592 after In
- L 603 after of
- L 614 after of
- L 617 after in
- L 623 after of
- L 647 after acceptable
- L 660 before The
- L 668 after areas
- L 673 after agent
- L 677 after such
- L 714 after that
- L 718 after prevent
- L 719 after may
- L 761 after The
- L 775 after agreement
- L 792 after message
- L 815 after mechanism
- L 824 after agreement
- L 825 after recommended, after that, after parties
- L 831 after assurance

- L 839 after mechanism
- L 841 after recommended, after perform

Lower case e in EbXML

L 178-181

Transport/Routing & Packaging / inconsistent spelling

L 222, 280, 556, 860

Missing references

L 266

L 454 to 461

Square bullets vs round

L 454 to 460

Periods after sentences

L 563, 573, 588, 636, 840, 847, 849, 850, 916, 920

Brackets [] around document references

L 730

Introduction for Appendices

References incomplete and need web addresses

Comments by line reference

Page 1 bottom of page / change 2001 to 2000 & 2001 to be consistent with other pages

Line 71 Partner should be Partners to be consistent with line 222

L 126 After them / Upper case t in the

L 170 comma after document

L 178 no space after 091 to be consistent with line 179

L 180 replace dash with period to be consistent with line 179

L 181 no space after Architecture and missing version number

L 195 add hyphen between policy and based to be consistent with line 208

L 196 add comma after management

L 201 add comma after isolation

L 211 spacing in the word then / space between the and n

L 229 no comma after Section

L 235 add colon after ebXML

L 237 add e- to transactions in line 238

L 245 upper case S in sensitive to be consistent with line 236

L 247 remove s after party's

L 249 what kind of system is this? Operating, network, application, other

upper case E in errors to be consistent with line 236

L 258 upper case T in the to be consistent with line 236

L 266 missing “)”; acronyms not defined, missing references

Semi-colon at the end of list in some instances and others not

Risk Category of Error Detection – space between Message and Level

Risk Category of Error Detection – what kind of System? OS, network, other

L 284 space after Figure and no space before period

L 289 add hyphen between Collaboration and Protocol to be consistent with [ebCPP]

L 294 comma after CPP

L 309 phrase unclear “of on a”

L 335 period instead of a semi-colon

L 349 add [ebBPSS] to Section 5.3

L 391 comma after Profiles

- L 393 no space after PGP to be consistent with line 395
- L 394 no space after S/MIME to be consistent with line 395
- L 395 pgp should be [PGP] to be consistent with line 393
- L 409 commas after sizes and period after etc
- L 415 space before mechanisms
- L 423 space before development
- L 471 space between when and organizations
- L 475 colon after below to be consistent with line 349
- L 491 add dash after procedure or “)” to be consistent with line 477
- L 532 introduce lines 533 to 554
- L 571 [REGREP] should be [ebRS]
- L 575 no “(“ or “)” to be consistent with line 391
- L 576 why upper case B in Business
- L 581 The should not be bolded
Spacing of owner is uneven
Space between owner and of
- L 582 spacing of owner is uneven
Space between owner and of
- L 583 Content Owner should be bolded to be consistent with line 581
- L 586 Registry Service should be bolded to be consistent with line 581
- L 592 comma after D
Space after of
- L 596 Rather than starting a phrase with Because, suggest As a result of the Registry
needing to know
- L 599 space after of
- L 614 comma after model
- L 615 after model remove one period and at least one space
- L 617 after messaging remove two periods
- L 639 exaple should be example
- L 643 line 644 should follow
- L 647 what after section 3.2
Upper case S for section to be consistent with line 613
- L 649 before protocol should soap be SOAP
- L 666 should 11.1 be 12.1
- L 671 space after of
- L 678 should stlye be style
- L 701 why a extra long dash before largely and double dash before attribute
- L 792 space between to and NNR
- L 807 should much be must
- L 814 reference to what (Ref: 9.2)
- L 818 R&R should be [ebRS]
- L 829 space before in
- L 866 should level be levels
- L 874 replace 2001 with 2000 & 2001 to be consistent with the bottom of the page
- L 897 POC not previously defined
- L 905 business to business should be business-to-business
- L 991 line should be bold to be consistent with line 1016
- L 1007 is the dash needed before the “<”?